UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/591,282	08/31/2006	Tae-Sook Jeong	428.1075	9406
20311 LUCAS & MEI	7590 08/05/200 RCANTI. LLP	EXAMINER		
475 PARK AV	*	DAVIS, DEBORAH A		
15TH FLOOR NEW YORK, N	NY 10016		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1655	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/05/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/591,282	JEONG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	DEBORAH A. DAVIS	1655			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 Ar</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2-5 is/are withdrawn for the striction of the above claim(s) 2-5 is/are withdrawn for the striction of the striction and/or claim(s) 1 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 6 and 8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or claim(s) claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or claim(s) clai					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of th	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Group I, claims 1 and 6-8, in the reply filed on April 28, 2008 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). All other claims are withdrawn from consideration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a composition for the treatment of cardiovascular disease does not reasonably provide enablement for the prevention thereof. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. Applicant's have reasonable demonstrated/disclosed that the claimed composition is useful as a therapeutic agent for treating cardiovascular disease. However, the claims also encompass using the claimed composition for prevention of cardiovascular disease, which is clearly beyond the scope of the instantly disclosed/claimed invention. Please note that preventing cardiovascular disease

Art Unit: 1655

requires a higher standard for enablement than does treating the disease, especially since it is notoriously well accepted in the medical art that the vast number majority of afflictions/disorders suffered by mankind cannot be prevented with current therapies, including those involving cardiovascular disease. Applicant is invited to show support to obviate this rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Harrison et al., (Phytochemistry, Vol. 26, No. 4, 1987, pp. 1211-1212).

The claims are drawn to a composition for the treatment of cardiovascular disease containing any one of compounds represented by the following formula 1 to formula 5 disclosed in the instant claim 6.

The reference of Harrison et al anticipates the claim the claims by disclosing an extract from the leaf of Torreya nucifera in which a diterpenoid compound which has been isolated. The diterpenoid compound is represented by the formula in Table 1; wherein the "R1" group is a methyl, as instantly claimed (see page 1211, Table 1, experimental section, e.g.). Please note, that the cited art of Harrison would be capable of performing the intended use (i.e. treating of cardiovascular disease) because there is not a structural difference between the reference composition and the instantly claimed invention.

Therefore, the cited reference is deemed to anticipate the invention defined by the cited claims.

Claims 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Flores et al., (Bol. Soc. Chil. Quim. Vol. 46, No. 1, March 2001).

The claim is drawn to a composition for the treatment of cardiovascular disease containing any one of the compounds represented by the following formula 1 to formula 5, disclosed in the instant claim 6, wherein (R can be a methyl, hydroxymethyl, aldehyde, methylester).

The reference of Flores et al. anticipates the instant claims by disclosing a methanolic composition formed from the bark of Prumnopytis andina that contains a ferruginol compound -represented by structure 2, in Figure 1; wherein the "R" position is a methyl group, as instantly claimed. Please note, that the cited art of Flores would be capable of performing the intended use (i.e. treating of cardiovascular disease) because there is not a structural difference between the reference composition and the instantly claimed invention.

Therefore, the cited reference is deemed to anticipate the invention defined by the cited claims.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 1 is allowable. The prior art neither teaches nor suggest an abietane diterpenoid compound wherein R is dimethoxmethyl) - as defined by instant claim 1.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DEBORAH A. DAVIS whose telephone number is (571)272-0818. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5 Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terry McKelvey can be reached on (571) 272-0775. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Deborah A. Davis, Patent Examiner, AU 1655 July 2008 /Christopher R. Tate/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1655